

LETTERS TO THE EDITORS

Space-Time Burnout of an Absorbing Slab

Consider a nonscattering absorbing slab extending from $x = 0$ to $x = D$. Assume a constant current of neutrons of strength ϕ_0 entering at $x = 0$ normal to the surface, and starting at time zero. Let the slab have a single absorbing species of microscopic cross section σ barns and initial number density N_0 nuclei per barn-cm. Then, at any time t and depth x , the neutron current and absorber number density satisfy the following simultaneous integral equations:

$$N(x, t) = N_0 \exp \left[-\sigma \int_0^t \phi(x, t') dt' \right] \quad (1)$$

$$\phi(x, t) = \phi_0 \exp \left[-\sigma \int_0^x N(x', t) dx' \right]. \quad (2)$$

These equations can easily be transformed to a pair of simultaneous differential equations by changing to a new set of variables:

$$u(x) = \sigma nvt \text{ at depth } x = \sigma \int_n^t \phi(x, t') dt' \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) &= \text{depth in mean free paths} \\ &= \sigma \int_0^x N(x', t) dx'. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Equations (1) and (2) become

$$\partial v / \partial x = \sigma N_0 e^{-v} \quad (5)$$

$$\partial u / \partial t = \sigma \phi_0 e^{-v}. \quad (6)$$

A solution is easily found in the following form¹

$$u = \ln [1 + e^{\sigma \phi_0 t - \sigma N_0 x} - e^{-\sigma N_0 x}] \quad (7)$$

$$v = \ln [1 + e^{\sigma N_0 x - \sigma \phi_0 t} - e^{-\sigma \phi_0 t}]. \quad (8)$$

The ϕ and N can be obtained by differentiation but often u and v are themselves more valuable. For example, $v(D)$ is the mean free path depth of the slab at any time.

A similar case of greater interest is simply to solve the same problem when the slab is subjected to a normally incident current from both sides. In this case let the total thickness of the slab be $2x$, and examine the conditions which apply at the center

$$\phi(x, t) = 2\phi_0 \exp \left[-\sigma \int_0^x N(x', t) dx' \right] \quad (9)$$

¹ This solution proceeds from the fact that $\partial^2 u / (\partial x \partial t)$ and $\partial^2 v / (\partial x \partial t)$ are equal, so that $u + h(x) = v + g(t)$. The solution follows upon insertion into Eqs. (5) and (6) and use of boundary conditions.

$$N(x, t) = N_0 \exp \left[-\sigma \int_0^t \phi(x, t') dt' \right]. \quad (10)$$

The solution proceeds in the same manner as above, yielding the following value for the slab depth (in mean free paths) as a function of time:

$$2v(x, t) = 2 \ln [1 + e^{N_0 \sigma x - 2\phi_0 \sigma t} - e^{-2\phi_0 \sigma t}].$$

W. H. ARNOLD, JR.

Atomic Power Department
Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
Received November 12, 1958

Concerning the Theory of Control Sheets

In a recent paper (1), Wolfe derived a critical condition for a plane symmetric reactor with plane control sheets inserted, under the conditions that

$$\delta \gg \min (L, \sqrt{\tau}) \quad (1)$$

where δ is the spacing between sheets, and L, τ are the thermal diffusion length and age in the core material. In particular, a critical equation of the form

$$(\sin \mu \delta, \cos \mu \delta)(\alpha \lambda_1^N V_1 + \beta \lambda_2^N V_2) = 0 \quad (2)$$

has been given for N equally spaced sheets, where $\alpha, \beta, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$ are functions of the material properties, and V_1, V_2 are vector functions of these properties.

Equation (2) was derived from the condition

$$(\sin \mu \delta, \cos \mu \delta) Q^N \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad (3)$$

where

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \mu \delta + R \sin \mu \delta & -\sin \mu \delta + R \cos \mu \delta \\ \sin \mu \delta & \cos \mu \delta \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

and R is a function of material properties.

In the following we will show how the critical equation, Eq. (2), can be considerably simplified by working from Eqs. (3) and (4) in a somewhat different manner than was done in ref. 1.

It was shown in ref. 1 that the eigenvectors V_1, V_2 of

Q are

$$V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} S - RC \\ RS/2 - \sqrt{T^2 - 1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

$$V_2 = \left(\frac{S - RC}{RS/2 + \sqrt{T^2 - 1}} \right) \quad (6)$$

where we have abbreviated

$$S = \sin \mu \delta; \quad C = \cos \mu \delta \quad (7)$$

$$T = C + (RS/2) \quad (8)$$

with eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{\frac{1}{2}} = T \pm \sqrt{T^2 - 1}. \quad (9)$$

That being the case, we have

$$QP = P\Lambda \quad (10)$$

where

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} S - RC & S - RC \\ RS/2 - \sqrt{T^2 - 1} & RS/2 + \sqrt{T^2 - 1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (12)$$

or

$$Q = P\Lambda P^{-1}. \quad (13)$$

Thus,

$$Q^N = P\Lambda^N P^{-1} \quad (14)$$

or, more explicitly,

$$Q^N = \frac{1}{\Delta} \begin{pmatrix} S - RC & S - RC \\ RS/2 - \sqrt{T^2 - 1} & RS/2 + \sqrt{T^2 - 1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^N & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} RS/2 + \sqrt{T^2 - 1} & CR - S \\ \sqrt{T^2 - 1} - RS/2 & S - RC \end{pmatrix} \quad (15)$$

where

$$\Delta = 2 \sqrt{T^2 - 1} (S - RC). \quad (16)$$

By direct calculation from Eqs. (3) and (15), we find the surprisingly simple critical equation

$$(S, C)Q^N \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{S}{2\sqrt{T^2 - 1}} \{\lambda_1^{N+1} - \lambda_2^{N+1}\} = 0. \quad (17)$$

By inspection, one can verify that the only admissible solutions of Eq. (17) are those for which

$$(\lambda_1/\lambda_2)^{N+1} = 1. \quad (18)$$

If we write $T = \cos \psi$ in Eq. (9), and substitute in Eq. (18)

$$e^{2i(N+1)\psi} = 1 \quad (19)$$

that is, the critical values of $\psi = \cos^{-1} T$ are

$$\psi_j = j\pi/(N+1) \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, 2N+1) \quad (20)$$

and the critical equation, from Eqs. (8) and (20), takes the simple form

$$\cos \mu \delta + \frac{R}{2} \sin \mu \delta = \cos \frac{j\pi}{N+1} \quad (21)$$

$$(j = 1, 2, \dots, 2N+1)$$

Equation (21) is understood to be solved for each j , and the smallest positive root for k so obtained is the desired eigenvalue.

It is of interest to note that Eq. (21) can be solved explicitly for the critical spacing δ , in the form

$$\delta_{\text{crit}} = \frac{1}{\mu} \cos^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\cos [j\pi/(N+1)] \pm \frac{1}{2}R\sqrt{\sin^2 [j\pi/(N+1)] + R^2/4}}{1 + R^2/4} \right\} \quad (22)$$

which is to be interpreted in a manner similar to Eq. (21).

REFERENCE

1. B. WOLFE, "General Theory of Control Sheets," *Nuclear Sci. and Eng.* **4**, 635-648 (1958).

H. S. WILF

Nuclear Development Corporation of America

White Plains, New York

Received November 24, 1958

A Simple Treatment for Effective Resonance Absorption Cross Sections in Dense Lattices

It has recently been shown by Chernick *et al.* (1, 2) that effective resonance absorption cross sections can be computed with the same expressions for both homogeneous mixtures of absorber and moderator and also for isolated¹ lumps of absorber in moderator. This result was obtained by making for the isolated lump case, the so-called Wigner or canonical approximation to the neutron escape probability from a lump. Let S denote lump area, V_0 lump volume, V_1 moderator volume per lump, Σ_0 macroscopic cross section in lump, and Σ_1 moderator cross section. In this notation, it was found that the quantity $S/4V_0 = s_0$ plays the same role for the heterogeneous case that the moderator cross section per absorber atom ($\Sigma_1 V_1/V_0$) plays in the homogeneous case. The quantity s_0 was interpreted as a pseudo-cross section representing escape from the lump (2).

For the case of dense lattices with closely spaced lumps, it has been customary to apply Dancoff corrections (3) to the isolated lump case. This is frequently a quite complicated procedure. It is the purpose of this note to indicate how the canonical treatment may be generalized to the case of closely spaced lumps and to obtain a transition between the isolated lump and homogeneous cases. The result of such a generalization is very simple; namely, in general the quantity s_0 is to be replaced (in all isolated lump expressions) by τ_0 , where

$$\tau_0 = \frac{s_0 \Sigma_1}{\Sigma_1 + s_0 (V_0/V_1)}. \quad (1)$$

In the following, we shall first give a heuristic justification of this recipe and then note some of its desirable properties.

We assume, as usual, that neutrons arrive at any energy E uniformly in space within either the absorber lump

¹ By isolated we mean that separation between lumps is large compared to a moderator mean free path.