

toward providing a thorough public exposure of the concerns and issues pertaining to nuclear power. *Public Issues of Nuclear Power* is, in my opinion, a fascinating record of what experts, critics, and proponents think about nuclear power, and provides for an unusual insight and documentation on the depths of the supporting bases. The cooperative efforts of the many participants made all this possible.

Who were the speakers? Nationally recognized critics, proponents, and experts, and local participants. I am sure that our local participants are typical of the many spokesmen, pro and con, to be found in other communities. Speakers were selected from the political arena, environmental groups, federal agencies, universities, research institutes, law offices, and industry. The 24 different speakers<sup>a</sup> cover a broad range of interest and affiliations, and thus contribute their own special attributes. The introduction for each speaker seeks to identify that speaker's involvements with the nuclear issues and some measure of his characteristics. This special series of discussions was held at the University of Minnesota in the fall, 1974, with each session devoted to the presentation of one side. A question-and-answer period was provided for nearly all sessions, and this material, too, became part of the publication. An atmosphere free of confrontation was sought so that maximum opportunities were given to promote improved and discerning appreciation of the judgments and views being presented, and the bases thereof.

How well did we succeed? The publication does not contain an overall view and conclusion. The purpose of the publication was to introduce the class participants, or the reader, to the general issues of nuclear power, and ask that he judge for himself the effectiveness of the presentations. With each issue identified, how substantive were the discussions presented? Each speaker was asked to give his reasoning and supportive bases for his views and recommendations. *Public Issues of Nuclear Power* thus represents a very valuable record and a must reading for all who are participating in the discussions of nuclear power, those concerned with effecting new legislation pertaining to nuclear power, and all

<sup>a</sup>Prof. Dean Abrahamson, School of Public Affairs, University of Minnesota; Myron Chery, Esq.; Dr. J. Dietrich, Combustion Engineering; Byron Lee, Jr., Vice-president, Commonwealth Edison Company; S. Levine, Project Staff Director of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission (AEC) Reactor Safety Study; G. Charnoff, Esq.; Dr. F. Pittman, Director of the AEC Division of Waste Management and Transportation; Dr. Thomas Cochran, Natural Resources Defense Council; W. K. Davis, Vice-president, Bechtel Power Corporation; L. M. Muntzing, Director of Regulations, AEC; Dr. John McBride, Vice-president, E. R. Johnsons Associates, Inc.; C. Bollman, Assistant Vice-president, Marsh and McLennon, Inc.; E. J. Bauser, Executive Director, Joint Committee on Atomic Energy; M. Whitman, Assistant Director for Program Analysis, AEC; Dr. W. J. Bair, Director of the Life Sciences Program, Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratories; G. Merritt, Executive Director, Minnesota State Pollution Control Agency; Dr. W. Lawson, Commissioner of Health, State of Minnesota; Mayor Lawrence D. Cohen, Saint Paul; Dr. Phyllis L. Kahn, Minnesota State Representative; Tim McKeown, Minnesota Public Interest Research Group; John Herman, Esq., Sierra Club; R. Hatling, Minnesota Environmental Control Citizen's Association; Prof. Rodney Loper, Clear Air, Clear Water—Unlimited; and Prof. Herbert S. Isbin. Organizational affiliations noted were as of Dec. 1974.

who want to make a more informed decision on nuclear power.

Contrary to the impression given by the reviewer, there is an abundance of new material in the publication. In addition, there is an extensive listing of the current literature. I do agree with the reviewer that there is a lack of editing, and in our efforts to produce the works promptly, compromises were made in typing, reproduction of figures, and binding. No index is provided. In the Preface, attention has been noted to these matters, and comments, corrections, and criticisms are sought for the preparation of a more useful publication.

Herbert S. Isbin

The University of Minnesota  
Department of Chemical Engineering  
and Materials Science  
151 Chemical Engineering Building  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

July 7, 1975

#### REFERENCE

1. JAMES B. SMATHERS, "Review of *Public Issues of Nuclear Power*," *Nucl. Technol.*, **27**, 526 (1975).

#### RESPONSE TO "COMMENTS ON REVIEW OF PUBLIC ISSUES OF NUCLEAR POWER"

After reading Dr. Isbin's letter,<sup>1</sup> I have reevaluated my review of *Public Issues of Nuclear Power* and find nothing in the review which I would care to change.

Except for the obvious disagreement we have as to whether the speakers presented new technical information or not, our differences in opinion concerning the book would seem to be in degree of enthusiasm for it rather than opposing views.

Since the members of the American Nuclear Society for whom the review was intended are active and knowledgeable in the nuclear power arena, I do not believe the main benefit of the book is to be derived by this group. Had it been reviewed for the readership of *Science* magazine or some other very broadly based publication, my recommendations would have been more enthusiastic.

James B. Smathers

Texas A&M University  
Department of Nuclear Engineering  
College Station, Texas 77843

July 24, 1975

#### REFERENCE

1. HERBERT S. ISBIN, "Comments on Review of *Public Issues of Nuclear Power*," *Nucl. Technol.*, **27**, 530 (1975).